IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 26TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 5TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 34796 of 2007(P)

PETITIONERS:
----

1. P.K.HAMZA, AGED 50 YEARS, S/O. KUNHIKALANTHAN, FULL TIME JUNIOR LANGUAGE TEACHER (URDU), DESA SEVA U.P.SCHOOL, KANNADIPARAMBA P.O., KANNADIPARAMBA, KANNUR DISTRICT.

2. P.T.PAVITHRAN, AGED 56 YEARS, S/O. KUNHIRAMAN, RETD. FULL TIME JUNIOR LANGUAGE TEACHER (SANSKRIT), IRINAVU P.K.V.S. M.U.P.SCHOOL, IRINAVU, (RESIDING AT KANNAPURAM, P.O.CHERUKUNNU, KANNUR DISTRICT.)

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION (S) DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, KANNUR, P.O.KANNUR.

3. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, PAPPINISSERI, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. I.V. PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)No. 34796 OF 2007

Dated this the 26th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioners' pay was stepped up on par with that of their juniors as per the Government orders permitting such fixation. However, on objections raised, the same has been cancelled purportedly on the basis of a circular, which according to the petitoners is contrary to the Government order applicable.

2. In the above circumstances, the petitoners have filed Exts.P6 and P7 representations before the 1st respondent. The petitioners seek expeditious disposal of Exts.P6 and P7.

3. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider Exts.P6 and P7 filed by the petitioners and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners. The petitioners would be free to rely on Ext.P5 judgment or any other material in

support of their claim, which should be considered by the 1st respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd